Advanced Nitride Materials for Ultimate Efficiency Solid State Lighting

James S. Speck Materials Department University of California Santa Barbara, CA



LEO of m-GaN from circular opening

Core nitride faculty S.P. DenBaars, U.K. Mishra, S. Nakamura, C. Weisbuch, C.G. Van de Walle



### **Outline**

•Brief primer on light for lighting: not just photons and Watts

- •Lighting: current technologies
- Solid state lighting
  - Challenges: efficiency and cost
  - Solutions: efficiency and cost

•Ultimate solid state lighting





Special thanks to M. Krames (Soraa) and D. Feezell (UCSB/UNM) for slides

# **Brief Primer on Light for Lighting:** Not just Photons and Watts





# Light and Lighting – Definitions I (... confusing!)

### **Radiometry (physics)**

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Phi_{\rm e} & {\sf Radiant\ flux-energy\ flow\ (W)} \\ {\sf I}_{\rm e}(\lambda) = {\sf d}\Phi_{\rm e}/{\sf d}\omega & {\sf Radiant\ intensity\ -\ (W/sr)} \\ {\sf S}(\lambda) = {\sf d}\Phi_{\rm e}/{\sf d}\lambda & {\sf Spectral\ power\ distribution\ (W/m)} \end{array}$ 

### Photometry (includes human response!)

Φ<sub>v</sub>Luminous flux – Lumens (Im)V(λ)CIE luminous efficiency function

$$\Phi_{\rm v} = 683 \, {\rm Im/W} \int {\rm S}(\lambda) \, {\rm V}(\lambda) \, {\rm d}\lambda$$

Luminous efficacy Lumens/optical watt (Im/W)

$$\eta_e = \Phi_e / P$$
 Radiant efficiency (P = input power)

η<sub>v</sub> = η<sub>e</sub> K Luminous efficiency Lumens/electrical watt (Im/W)



Fig. 16.7. Eye sensitivity function,  $V(\lambda)$ , (left ordinate) and luminous efficacy, measured in lumens per Watt of optical power (right ordinate).  $V(\lambda)$  is greatest at 555 nm. Also given is a polynomial approximation for  $V(\lambda)$  (after 1978 CIE data).

E. F. Schubert Light Emitting Diodes (Canteridae Univ. Press) www.LightEmittingDiction.org

Lumen - Eye-weighted radiant flux



Κ

# Light and Lighting – Definitions II

| Lumen (Im):  | <b>.umen (Im):</b> Luminous flux = Luminous intensity x solid angle<br>e.g., sphere 4π sr |                            |              |              |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | <b>A candle</b> : 1 cd x 4π sr = <b>12.6 lm</b>   |                            |              |              |  |  |  |  |
| 100 W lightbulb: ~1300 lm (i.e, 13 lm/W)   |   |                            |              |              |  |  |  |  |
| Correlated Color Temperature (CCT):<br>Apparent blackbody temperature of a light source  |   |                            |              |              |  |  |  |  |
| e.g, Inca  | andescent bulb:   | CCT ~2800 K                | 'Cold white: | CCT ~5000+ K |  |  |  |  |
| Color Rendering Index (CRI):<br>'Light quality' – comparison of light source to a blackbody radiator with same CCT<br>(based on light source reflectivity from 8 test samples) |   |                            |              |              |  |  |  |  |
| e.g, Inca  | andescent bulb:   | CRI = 100                  | Na lamp: CR  | I = 10 - 20  |  |  |  |  |
| *formally: luminous inte<br>with a radiant inte  | nsity at 555 nm of a so<br>ensity I(λ) of 1.46 x 10 <sup>-</sup>                          | ource<br><sup>3</sup> W/sr |              |              |  |  |  |  |



### **CIE Diagram and White Light**



Fig. 16.8. Relation of maximum possible luminous efficacy (lumens per optical Watt) and chromaticity in the CIE 1931 x, y chromaticity diagram (adopted from MacAdam, 1950).

E. F. Schubert Light-Emitting Diodes (Cambridge Univ. Press) www.LightEmittingDiodes.org



# **Lighting Technologies**





# **Conventional Light Sources**

No Perfect Artificial Light Source Exists (yet)

#### **Incandescent**

#### High Intensity Discharge



Pros: Cheap, efficient Cons: Poor color, long restart, short lifetime



Pros: Very cheap, great color Cons: Very short lifetime, poor energy efficiency

#### **Fluorescent**



Pros: Cheap, energy efficient Cons: Can not run in cold temp; difficult/costly to dim, control, Hg

#### Compact Fluorescent



Pros: Energy efficient Cons: Poor color quality, Can not run in cold, High cost vs. Incand, Hg

#### Halogen



Pros: Great color, focused light Cons: Very short lifetime, poor energy efficiency



# **Three Methods of Making White Light with LEDs**



### **Multiple LEDs, RGB**

- good efficiency
- highest cost
- tunable color

### **UV + Phosphors**

- best CRI,
- color uniformity
- low cost
- lower efficiency
  - -Phosphor
  - conversion

### **Blue + Phosphors**

- lowest cost
- 100 lm/W
- >90% market share



# Luminous Efficacy of a Source: Im/W

| Goal 200 Im/W                             |   | 50%                                     | 400 lm/W |  |  |
|---|---|---|----------|--|--|
| Luminous Efficiency<br>of a Source (Im/W) |   | = Wall Plug Efficiency                  |          | Luminous Efficiency<br>of Radiation (Im/W)     |  |
| Luminous flux (Im)<br>Electric power (W)  | _ | Optical power (W)<br>Electric power (W) |          | <u>Luminous flux (Im)</u><br>Optical power (W) |  |





**SSLEC** 

### **Ideal LED SSL Efficiencies**

Tradeoff between CCT, CRT and efficacy (Im/W)

Ideal: high CRI (100); Iow CCT (2700K); high Im/W!

|                        | cm-LED | Ma     | aximum l | LER    | Efficacy for 67%<br>Conversion |        |        |
|------------------------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
|                        | ССТ    | CRI 70 | CRI 85   | CRI 90 | CRI 70                         | CRI 85 | CRI 90 |
| <b>RGB</b> White Light | 5000   | 380    | 365      | 356    | 255                            | 245    | 239    |
|                        | 3800   | 407    | 389      | 379    | 273                            | 261    | 254    |
|                        | 2700   | 428    | 407      | 394    | 287                            | 273    | 264    |

| pc-LED | Μ      | aximum I | LER    | Efficacy for 54%<br>Conversion |        |        |
|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|
| ССТ    | CRI 70 | CRI 85   | CRI 90 | CRI 70                         | CRI 85 | CRI 90 |
| 5000   | 350    | 337      | 332    | 189                            | 182    | 179    |
| 3800   | 369    | 352      | 350    | 199                            | 190    | 189    |
| 2700   | 391    | 371      | 363    | 211                            | 200    | 196    |

### **B** + phosphor

### GaN LED + YAG:Ce Phosphor White LED Workhorse



http://www.philipslumileds.com/technology/thermal

E. F. Schubert, Light-Emitting Diodes, 2nd ed. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).



# **Philips Lumileds - DOE L-Prize A19 Bulb**



# PHILIPS

**DOE L-Prize Winner**:

10 W; 940 lm (**94 lm/W**); CRI = 92; CCT 2700 K; 25,000 h life

Includes blue and red LEDs

\$49.97 @ homedepot.com (Sept. 27, 2012)

~\$20 for lower Im/W, lower CRI version



# White LEDs vs. Conventional Lighting



- Emerging > 100 lm/W phosphor converted white LEDs (power chips)
- Expect > 150 Im/W power LED performance within the next few years



# Lighting: Energy and Economics





# **Impact of Solid State Lighting**



Figure ES. 1 Forecasted U.S. Lighting Energy Consumption and Savings, 2010 to 2030



# Lighting – U.S. Lumens Production



Linear fluorescent and HID, ~80-120+ lm/W: ~40,000 Tlmh/yr Incandescent + halogen, ~15 lm/W: ~4,000 Tlmh/yr

\*SSL ultimately needs >>100 lm/W to displace linear fluorescent and HID

UCSB

# **High Brightness LED Market**



- Largest segment in 2010 was mobile (cell phones, mobile computing, mp3)
- Fastest growing segment was TV and monitor back lighting
- General lighting expected to drive the market by 2015
- Total available SSL market in 2020: ~\$50B \$100B



### **SSL Economics – our 'Sunshot'!**





#### Cost breakdown for 100k x 4" W/year



7/18/2012

21

CANACCORD Genuity

### Life-Cycle Energy of Incandescent Lamps, CFLs, and LED Lamps (DOE, 2012a)



July 18, 2012

© 2012 Strategies Unlimited

# **Overall System Efficiency**





# Solid State Lighting: Materials and Devices





# What is a Light Emitting Diode?



- Monocrystalline atomic arrangement determines semiconductor bandgap
  - Specifies optical properties
- Impurity doping provides p- and n-type regions
- At forward bias, injected electrons and holes recombine
- Energy may be released radiatively (light) or non-radiatively (heat)
- Fundamentally non-destructive



### **III-V Materials Systems for SSL**



- (AI,Ga)InP system offers red (~650 nm) to yellow (~580 nm) emission
- (In,Ga)N system offers UV-A (~ 380 nm) to green (~550 nm) emission



### Major Issues: Heteroepitaxy

### **C-plane GaN Heteroepitaxy**

common to GaN/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; GaN/SiC; GaN/Si

**Extended defects**: Threading dislocations Typical TD density >10<sup>8</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>

#### Stress:

#### **Growth stresses**

Island coalescence Incomplete relaxation Dislocation inclination Mismatched layers

### Thermal expansion mismatch (TEC)

Fundamental challenge

### Nonpolar/Semipolar GaN Heteroepitaxy

#### Additional Extended defects:

Basal plane stacking faults No known solution

### TEM of typical GaN on Sapphire



Typical GaN on sapphire  $\rho_{TD} = 5 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ 





### **Excess Minority Carrier Concentration:** Diffusion Length and Dislocation Density



Compare TD densities: GaAs and InP (~10<sup>3</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>); Si and Ge (~10<sup>0</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>)

J.S. Speck and S.J. Rosner, *Physica B* 274. 24 (1999)

UCSB

### **Typical GaN-Based LED Structure**







### **Real Atomic Scale Structure of an LED!**





# GaN-Based LED Challenges: Droop, Green Gap, Light Extraction



### SSL Efficiencies –

### **Components of High Efficiency and the Challenges**

**LED Efficiencies** 

 $\eta_{tot} = \eta_{elec} \times \eta_{IQE} \times \eta_{extrac}$ 

 $\eta_{elec} : \quad Electrical \ efficiency \ \dots \ ohmic \ losses \\ Better \ contacts, \ doping, \ \dots \ Tunnel \ junctions \\$ 

**η<sub>IQE</sub>**: **Internal quantum efficiency**: electron-hole pairs to photons

Major issues:

Droop (efficiency drop at increasing current drive) Green gap

η<sub>extrac</sub>: Extraction efficiency: escape efficiency for photons Major issues:

> Increase η<sub>extrac</sub> Directionality *Approaches here extend to system level issues*



# **Efficiency Droop**



### InGaN-based LEDs

 $\rightarrow$  Peak EQE at 1 - 10 A/cm<sup>2</sup>

#### **Potential Cause:**

- 1. Auger recombination: scales as n<sup>3</sup>
- 2. Carrier leakage/overshoot
- 3. Delocalization of carriers

Solutions via *wider* quantum wells – not possible in current c-plane technology



### 1000 Im lightbulb today

10 LED die, 1 mm x 1 mm, 350 mA .... 35 A/cm<sup>2</sup> 350 mA x 3 V = 1W 100 lm/W x 10 = 1000 lm

IF, we maintain efficiency at 10X current density

1 LED die, 1 mm x 1 mm, 3500 mA ... 350 A/cm<sup>2</sup>

10X reduction in LEDs, nearly all other cost go down

Solving droop: addresses efficiency AND cost



### The 'Green Gap'



\*C-plane data are from non-thin-film flip-chip devices

\*\*All data collected at 22 A/cm<sup>2</sup> or 35 A/cm<sup>2</sup>





# **Light Extraction in LEDs**



12% of emitted light is extracted
 88% is trapped in the semiconductor as guided modes due to total internal reflection at the semiconductor air or encapsulant interface

More precisely, in planar structures, light is emitted in **guided modes** either in the nitride layers (66%) or in the substrate (22%)

#### Main light extraction schemes:

\*Break propagation of guided modes by using non-planar structures.



\*Light extraction is well described by geometrical optics and ray tracing

SSLEC

# Solutions to: Droop, Green Gap, Light Extraction





### **Wurtzite GaN - Polarization**



UCSB

**SSLEC** 

# QWs on Polar *c*-plane GaN

### Strained InGaN QWs induce additional piezoelectric polarization



- Reduced radiative recombination rate
- Reduced transition energy
- Carrier transport issues
- Emission blue-shifts with current density
- QWs are limited in width





# **QWs on Semipolar Planes**





# **Record Low Droop Blue (20-2-1) LEDs**



|           | 35 (A/cm <sup>2</sup> ) | 100 (A/cm <sup>2</sup> ) | 200 (A/cm <sup>2</sup> ) | 300 (A/cm <sup>2</sup> ) | 400 (A/cm <sup>2</sup> ) |
|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| EQE (%)   | 52.4                    | 50.1                     | 45.3                     | 43.0                     | 41.2                     |
| Droop (%) | 0.7                     | 5.1                      | 14.1                     | 18.4                     | 21.9                     |



### **Comparison Semipolar (20-2-1) to c-plane**



Both Blue 445 nm LEDs on Bulk GaN 0.1 mm<sup>2</sup>



# **Light Extraction – Current Techniques**

Approaches to  $\eta_{extraction} \sim 80\%$ 

### **Chip Shaping**

Non-planar process Long light propagation distances Requires ultralow substrate loss

### Patterned Sapphire Substrate

Increased defect density Poor thermal conductivity

### Roughening

Substrate removal GaN thinning Silver p-side mirror Difficult metallurgy





### Photonic Crystals: Light Extraction and Directionality





Rangel et al., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **97**, 061118 (2010) Rangel et al., *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **98**, 081104 (2011)

Matioli et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 031108 (2010)

# Ultimate Solid State Lighting: Based on bulk GaN

# Nonpolar/Semipolar RGB Laser-Based SSL





# **Overview Bulk Growth Results**

| Technique          | Growth<br>Rate | Diameter | Thickness | TD Density                         | Challenges                              | Results |
|--------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|------------------------------------|---|---------|
| HVPE               | ~ 100<br>µm/h  | 2"       | 5.8 mm    | > 10 <sup>6</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> | Stress/<br>Curvature<br>Scaling<br>Cost | b COO   |
| Ammono-<br>thermal | ~ 4 µm/h       | 2"       | > 10 mm   | < 10 <sup>4</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> | Growth<br>rate<br>Purity                |         |
| HPNSG              | < 1 µm/h       | 0.8"     | < 1 mm    | ~ 10 <sup>2</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> | Scaling<br>Growth rate                  | 5 mm    |
| Flux               | 20 µm/h        | 2"       | 3 mm      | ~ 10 <sup>5</sup> cm <sup>-3</sup> | Yield<br>Scaling<br>Cost                |         |



# Impact of Bulk GaN

### Bulk GaN will enable

### C-plane GaN-based devices

Improved performance (no TDs) Improved yield (No TDs) Wafer scaling major origins of bow eliminated

### Nonpolar/Semipolar GaN-based devices

Enabled nonpolar/semipolar devices Transition major GaN-based technologies from c-plane to nonpolar/semipolar

### **Overall analysis:**

Ammonothermal shows significant promise for scaling and cost (ultimately <\$100/2" GaN)

#### Nonpolar violet LED progress



Cross-section TEM: m-plane GaN LED on bulk GaN



### **Progress in High Wall Plug Efficiency Laser Diodes**



#### Wall plug efficiency:

Conventional III-V LDs (near IR) >70% today; > 10 W power III-N >30% today (blue 440+ nm) >30% today; ~5 W power

 Blue LD phosphor converted white light CRI 90 (363 lm/W max)

 35% WPE LD
 127 lm/W x 0.75 (phosphor) = 95 lm/W

 50% WPE LD
 181 lm/W x 0.75 (phosphor) = 135 lm/W

 67% WPE LD
 243 lm/W x 0.75 (phosphor) = 180 lm/W



# **Applications of Visible Laser Diodes**

### Blue laser plus yellow phosphor for directional white light



"Near-parallel beam with a thousand times greater intensity... and half the energy consumption of LED headlights."

Source: http://www.motortrend.com http://www.bmwusanews.com





### **Prospects**

### **Solid State Lighting**

Clear path with existing technologies to >100 Im/W white: Towards \$1/klm cost Replaces incandescent, halogen, compact fluorescent lights

Need transformative technologies to **200 Im/W** white To replace linear fluorescent and HID lamps

Pathway via Bulk GaN substrates (enabler) Nonpolar and semipolar GaN for high QE and low droop Laser-based lighting

"Green gap" – requires fundamental understanding *and* breakthroughs! Solution necessary for RGB-based SSL based on LEDs or lasers



### Off-Grid Lighting: GaN Blue PC LED + Solar Cell + Battery



• Kerosene lighting and firewood are used by 1/3 of the world; they cause countless fires and are very inefficient (0.03 lm/watt).

• The average villager spends 10-25% of their annual income on kerosene.

- LED Lighting costs much less on an annual basis and payback period is just 6 months.
- LED Lighting allows education at night and increases safety for the Third World.





Lighting kit - \$38 Solar cell Battery (5 h charge) 2 x 1000 lm lights

# Team

#### **Growth / Devices**

Ben Bryant Samantha Cruz **Bob Farrell** Tetsuya Fujiwara (now Rohm) Chad Gallinat (now Corning) Matt Hardy James Po Shan Hsu Chia-Yen Huang Christophe Hurni Kate Kelchner Ingrid Koslow Youda Lin (now Soraa) Elison Matioli (now MIT) Siddha Pimputkar Ravi Shivaraman Anurag Tyagi (now Soraa) Yuji Zhao

#### TEM

Feng Wu

#### Staff

Dan Cohen Danny Feezell Stacia Keller Erin Young

#### Faculty

Steve DenBaars Shuji Nakamura Jim Speck Claude Weisbuch

#### **Key Collaborators**

Andy Armstrong (Sandia) Kenji Fujito (MCC) Mike Wraback (ARL) Paul Shen (ARL) Yu-Renn Wu (NTU)

